		ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER OF P	rademark Office	
Bortains in America			Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/670,062	09/26/2000	Bradley J. Wessman	20000389.ORI	5103	
75	90 01/17/2002				
Kevin W. Cyr Rider, Bennett, Egan & Arundel 333 S. Seventh Street Suite 2000			EXAMINER		
			OROPEZA, FRANCES P		
Minneapolis, MN 55402			I		
-			3762		
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002		

Ŧ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

.

	fine Antion Comment		1		
	Vina Antina Commerce		09/670,062	WESSMAN, BRADLEY	J.
	fice Action Summa	ry	Examiner	Art Unit	-
			Frances P. Oropeza	3762	
Period for Repl		nmunication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	
	Y NED STATUTORY PERI				
THE MAILIN - Extensions of 1 after SIX (6) M - If the period fo - If NO period fo - Failure to reply	IG DATE OF THIS COM time may be available under the pro IONTHS from the mailing date of th r reply specified above is less than or reply is specified above, the maxing within the set or extended period of	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply mum statutory period w for reply will, by statute,	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimun vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to bee	,	cation.
	term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70				
_	oonsive to communication	n(s) filed on 26 S	September 2000 .		
· — ·	action is <b>FINAL</b> .		is action is non-final		
<i>·</i> —		,		al matters, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
				35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of (	Claims				
4) 🛛 Claim	(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending i	n the application			
4a) Of	the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdraw	vn from consideratio	on.	
5) Claim	(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim	(s) is/are rejected				
7) Claim	(s) is/are objected	l to.			
8) 🛛 Claim	(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to re	striction and/or e	election requirement	t	
Application Pa	pers				
9) The sp	ecification is objected to	by the Examine	r.		
10) The dra	awing(s) filed on is	s/are: a)∏ accep	oted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
	•			abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
				b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	proved, corrected drawings		•	<b>i.</b> ·	
	th or declaration is object	•	aminer.		
-	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12				
-	owledgment is made of a	-	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	b) Some * c) Non				
	Certified copies of the pa	•			
		•		ed in Application No	
	Copies of the certified co application from the attached detailed Office	International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.)		9
			•	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	icatio
	he translation of the forei				
•		• • • ·	• •	J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	÷		-		
2) 🔲 Notice of Dra	ferences Cited (PTO-892) Iftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1		5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ptice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:	
.S. Patent and Trademark (	Office	Office Ad		Part of Pape	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - 1. Claims 1-1/, drawn to a medical lead, classified in class 60/, subclass 122.
  - II. Claims 18-27, drawn to a method of manufacturing, classified in class 29, subclass 825.
  - III. Claims 28-29, drawn to a band, classified in class 607, subclass 115.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II. and I. are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, invention I. can be made by coating the lead using periodic extrusion, such that a welding region exists and does not need to be cut into the lead body.

Inventions I. and III. are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require projections on the inner surface of the lumen. The subcombination has separate utility such as a band for a medical lead not requiring a welded region but using an non-welded element to provide electrical connectivity for a lead.

## Application/Control Number: 09/670,062 Art Unit: 3762

Inventions II. and III. are different inventions. Invention II. is a method of making a lead and invention III. is a device, a potential element, included in a lead.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza whose telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-4520 for regular communication and (703) 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,062 Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Function Examiner Art Unit 3762

EFFREY A JASTRZAN PRIMARY EXAMINER JASTRZAB